

Spin-2 Amplitudes in Black-Hole Evaporation

A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath*

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Here, quantum amplitudes for $s = 2$ linearised gravitational-wave perturbations of a spherically-symmetric Einstein/massless-scalar background, describing gravitational collapse to a black hole, are treated by analogy with the previous treatment of $s = 1$ linearised Maxwell-field perturbations. As with the spin-1 case, the spin-2 perturbations split into parts with odd and even parity. Their detailed angular behaviour is analysed, as well as their behaviour under infinitesimal coordinate transformations and their (linearised vacuum Einstein) field equations. In general, we work in the Regge-Wheeler gauge, except that, at a certain point, it becomes necessary to make a gauge transformation to an asymptotically-flat gauge, such that the metric perturbations have the expected fall-off behaviour at large radii. As with the $s = 1$ treatment, so in this $s = 2$ case we isolate suitable 'coordinate' variables which can be taken as boundary data on a final space-like hypersurface Σ_F . (For simplicity of exposition, we take the data on the initial surface Σ_I to be exactly spherically-symmetric.) The (large) Lorentzian proper-time interval between Σ_I and Σ_F , measured at spatial infinity, is denoted by T . We then consider the classical boundary-value problem and calculate the second-variation classical Lorentzian action $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$, on the assumption that the time interval T has been rotated into the complex: $T \rightarrow |T|\exp(-i\theta)$, for $0 < \theta \leq \pi/2$. This complexified classical boundary-value problem is expected to be well-posed, in contrast to the boundary-value problem in the Lorentzian-signature case ($\theta = 0$), which is badly posed, since it refers to hyperbolic or wave-like field equations. Following Feynman, we recover the Lorentzian quantum amplitude by taking the limit as $\theta \rightarrow 0_+$ of the semi-classical amplitude $\exp(iS_{\text{class}}^{(2)})$. The boundary data for $s = 2$ involve the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor, just as the data for $s = 1$ involve the usual (Maxwell) magnetic field. These relations are also investigated, using 2-component spinor language, in terms of the Maxwell field strength $\phi_{AB} = \phi_{(AB)}$ and the Weyl spinor $\Psi_{ABCD} = \Psi_{(ABCD)}$.

*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom

1 Introduction

In this paper, we apply to the $s = 2$ gravitational-wave perturbations a treatment analogous to that given for $s = 1$ Maxwell (photon) perturbations in [1]. Just as in [1] for $s = 1$, the $s = 2$ graviton perturbations about a spherically-symmetric background Einstein/massless-scalar model describing gravitational collapse, may be analysed in terms of suitable angular harmonics, having either odd or even parity. The classical (second-variation) Lorentzian action $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$ is derived, as a functional of suitably chosen boundary data on the final space-like hypersurface Σ_F at a very late proper time T , measured at spatial infinity, in each of the odd and even cases. For simplicity of exposition, we assume that the $s = 2$ boundary data on the initial pre-collapse hypersurface Σ_I , at an early time $t = 0$ (say), are zero. This would correspond to the initial intrinsic 3-metric h_{ij} on Σ_I being exactly spherically-symmetric.

As with spin-0 scalar perturbations [2,3] and $s = 1$ Maxwell perturbations [1], one can deduce the Lorentzian quantum amplitude to go from prescribed initial data on Σ_I (spherically-symmetric, in the above instance) to prescribed final perturbative data on Σ_F , from the study of the perturbative (second-variation) Lorentzian action S_{class} as a function of complexified time-at-spatial-infinity T . As before, one first rotates $T \rightarrow |T| \exp(-i\theta)$ into the complex, for $0 < \theta \leq \pi/2$. Then the classical (Dirichlet or Neumann) linearised boundary-value problem is expected to become well-posed. The classical linearised solution for fixed (real) boundary data but variable complex T (with $\theta > 0$) becomes complex-valued. Similarly, the second-variation classical Lorentzian action S_{class} becomes a complex-valued functional of the (real) boundary data, and a function of the complex variable T , provided $\text{Im}(T) < 0$. Following Feynman [4], one recovers the (complex) quantum amplitude to go from the prescribed initial data on Σ_I to final data on Σ_F , by considering the semi-classical amplitude, proportional to $\exp(iS_{\text{class}})$, and then taking the limit as $\theta \rightarrow 0_+$. The quantum amplitude in the case $0 < \theta \leq \pi/2$ contains also loop corrections, multiplying $\exp(iS_{\text{class}})$. For quantum amplitudes to be meaningful, one expects that the theory should be invariant under local supersymmetry [2]. In the locally-supersymmetric case, for supergravity coupled to supermatter [12,13], the loop corrections will have a very small effect, provided that the frequencies involved in the boundary data are small compared with the Planck scale.

In Sec.2, a more unified treatment of the angular harmonics appearing in [1] for $s = 1$, and in the present paper for $s = 2$, is outlined in terms of vector and tensor spherical harmonics [5,6]. The detailed angular decomposition of the metric perturbations for odd-parity $s = 2$ harmonics is given in Sec.3; this is simplified by use of the Regge-Wheeler (RW) gauge [7], and the odd-parity linearised vacuum Einstein equations are given. Sec.4 begins the process of computing the classical action $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$ for odd-parity metric perturbations. The boundary conditions on the odd-parity $s = 2$ perturbations are discussed in Sec.5. Both the odd- and even-parity metric perturbations, obeying the linearised vacuum Einstein equations, inevitably grow at a rate $O(r)$ at large

radius ($r \rightarrow \infty$), compared to an asymptotically-flat background metric, when viewed in RW gauge. For odd-parity metric perturbations, in Sec.6 a gauge transformation is given to a new asymptotically-flat (AF) gauge, such that the metric perturbations in the AF gauge die away at large r , as expected on physical grounds. This leads to a convenient choice of variables with which to describe data on the final surface Σ_F , for odd-parity metric perturbations. An explicit expression for the odd-parity perturbative (second-variation) part of the classical action S_{class} is given in Eq.(6.17). From this, as described above, one can compute the classical Lorentzian quantum amplitude.

In Sec.7, we turn to the even-parity metric perturbations. The detailed angular decompositions are given there, together with a treatment of even-parity gauge transformations and of the linearised vacuum Einstein field equations in RW gauge. The corresponding classical action functional is introduced in Sec.8. As with the odd-parity metric perturbations in Sec.5, so in Sec.9 a convenient description of boundary data for even-parity metric perturbations is given, so that the second-variation classical action S_{class} can be given explicitly in terms of the data, in Eq.(9.7). From this expression for S_{class} , one can again deduce the Lorentzian quantum amplitude from taking the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0_+$, by analogy with the spin-0 and spin-1 cases [1,2].

In Sec.10, we note that the boundary data above, suitable as arguments of the wave functional Ψ , involve specifying (odd- or even-parity parts of) the magnetic part H_{ik} of the Weyl tensor [8-11]. Similarly, in Sec.6 of [1], we considered, in 2-component spinor language, the analogous $s = 1$ Maxwell boundary data, involving the magnetic field B_i . For $s = 1$, knowledge of (real) B_i is equivalent to knowledge of 3 of the 6 real components of the symmetric Maxwell field-strength spinor $\phi_{AB} = \phi_{(AB)}$ [8,9]. Correspondingly, in the $s = 2$ case of the present paper, knowledge of the 5 real, symmetric, trace-free components of H_{ik} at a point is equivalent to knowledge of half of the 10 real components of the totally-symmetric Weyl spinor $\Psi_{ABCD} = \Psi_{(ABCD)}$ [8,9,12]. Sec.11 contains a brief conclusion.

2 Vector and tensor spherical harmonics

A more unified treatment of the angular harmonics appearing for $s = 1$ (Maxwell) and $s = 2$ (graviton) perturbations of a spherically-symmetric background can be given in terms of vector and tensor harmonics [5,6]. In [2,3], we expanded the $s = 0$ (massless-scalar) perturbations in terms of scalar spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$, which have even parity. Vector and tensor spherical harmonics, however, can have odd as well as even parity.

Any vector field in a spherically-symmetric background, such as the classical $s = 1$ (photon) solutions appearing in [1], can be expanded in terms of vector spherical harmonics on the unit 2-sphere. Correspondingly, angular vector and tensor indices are raised and lowered with the metric $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$, given by

$$\hat{\gamma}_{\theta\theta} = 1, \quad \hat{\gamma}_{\phi\phi} = \sin^2\theta, \quad \hat{\gamma}_{\theta\phi} = \hat{\gamma}_{\phi\theta} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

The even-parity vector harmonics [5,6] have angular components

$$(\Psi_{\ell m})_a = \partial_a Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (2.2)$$

where $a = (\theta, \phi)$. The odd-parity vector harmonics are

$$(\Phi_{\ell m})_a = \epsilon_a{}^b (\partial_b Y_{\ell m}) \quad . \quad (2.3)$$

Here, $\epsilon_a{}^b$ denotes the tensor with respect to angular indices ($a = \theta, \phi$; $b = \theta, \phi$), such that the lowered version $\epsilon_{ab} = -\epsilon_{ba}$ is anti-symmetric, with $\epsilon_{01} = (\hat{\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sin \theta$, where $\hat{\gamma} = \det(\hat{\gamma}_{ab})$. Thus,

$$\epsilon_\theta{}^\phi = \frac{-1}{\sin \theta} \quad , \quad \epsilon_\phi{}^\theta = \sin \theta \quad , \quad \epsilon_\phi{}^\phi = \epsilon_\theta{}^\theta = 0 \quad . \quad (2.4)$$

The forms of the angular harmonics appearing in the $s = 1$ photon calculations of [1] can be deduced from these vector-spherical-harmonic expressions.

Analogously, any rank-2 tensor field such as a linearised (graviton) $s = 2$ classical solution, to be treated in this paper, can be expanded in terms of tensor spherical harmonics. The even-parity harmonics are

$$(\Psi_{\ell m})_{ab} = Y_{\ell m|ab} \quad , \quad (\Phi_{\ell m})_{ab} = \hat{\gamma}_{ab} Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (2.5)$$

where a bar | denotes a covariant derivative with respect to the metric $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$. The odd-parity harmonics are

$$(\chi_{\ell m})_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\epsilon_a{}^c (\Psi_{\ell m})_{cb} + \epsilon_b{}^c (\Psi_{\ell m})_{ca} \right] \quad . \quad (2.6)$$

Our boundary-value problem, as posed in [2,3], involves specifying on the final space-like hypersurface Σ_F the spatial components $h_{ij}^{(1)}(x)$, for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, of the real perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x)$ of the 4-metric ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$). We shall construct a basis of tensor spherical harmonics with which to expand the angular dependence of $h_{ij}^{(1)}$. In general, we make a multipole decomposition for real metric perturbations, of the form:

$$h_{ij}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \left[(h_{ij}^{(-)})_{\ell m}(x) + (h_{ij}^{(+)})_{\ell m}(x) \right] \quad , \quad (2.7)$$

where $-$ and $+$ denote odd- and even-parity contributions, respectively. The limit $\ell = 2$ in the summation over ℓ will be commented on in the following Sec.3.

3 Odd-parity perturbations

In [1], $s = 1$ (Maxwell) perturbations of spherically-symmetric gravitational backgrounds were treated in the Regge-Wheeler (RW) formalism [7], and split

naturally into odd and even type, according to their behaviour under parity inversion: $\theta \rightarrow (\pi - \theta)$, $\phi \rightarrow (\pi + \phi)$. The even 'electric-type' perturbations have parity $\pi = (-1)^\ell$, while the odd 'magnetic-type' perturbations have parity $\pi = (-1)^{\ell+1}$. The analogous (orthogonal) decomposition also holds for the $s = 2$ gravitational-wave perturbations.

In the $s = 1$ Maxwell case, the lowest $\ell = 0$ mode does not propagate: in the electric case, it corresponds to the addition of a small charge to the black hole, to turn a Schwarzschild solution into a Reissner-Nordström solution with charge $Q \ll M$. Correspondingly, in the $s = 2$ case of gravitational perturbations, the multipoles with $\ell < 2$ are non-radiatable. For example, the even-parity gravitational perturbations with $\ell = 0$ correspond to a small static charge in the mass, while the $\ell = 0$ odd-parity perturbation is identically zero. For $\ell = 1$, the odd-parity (dipole) gravitational perturbations must be stationary [13], and even-parity dipole perturbations correspond to a coordinate displacement of the origin [14] and can be removed by a gauge transformation. For a general spin $s = 0, 1, 2$, perturbations with $\ell < |s|$ relate to total conserved quantities in the system. In the present $s = 2$ gravitational-wave case, we consider only the propagating $\ell = 2$ (quadrupole) and higher- ℓ modes.

In this Section and the following Secs.4,5, we restrict attention to odd-parity gravitational-wave perturbations. Following Moncrief [15], we write

$$\left(h_{ij}^{(-)}\right)_{\ell m}(x) = h_{1\ell m}^{(-)}(t, r) \left[(e_1)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m} + h_{2\ell m}^{(-)}(t, r) \left[(e_2)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m}. \quad (3.1)$$

(N.B. : one should not confuse the subscripts 1,2 here with spin subscripts.) The non-zero components of the symmetric tensor fields $[(e_{1,2})_{ij}]_{\ell m}$ are defined by

$$((e_1)_{r\theta})_{\ell m} = -(\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m})/(\sin \theta), \quad (3.2)$$

$$((e_1)_{r\phi})_{\ell m} = (\sin \theta)(\partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}), \quad (3.3)$$

$$((e_2)_{\theta\theta})_{\ell m} = (\sin \theta)^{-2} ((\sin \theta) \partial_{\theta\phi}^2 - (\cos \theta) \partial_\phi) Y_{\ell m}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$((e_2)_{\theta\phi})_{\ell m} = \frac{1}{2} ((\sin \theta)^{-1} \partial_\phi^2 - (\cos \theta) \partial_\theta - (\sin \theta) \partial_\theta^2) Y_{\ell m}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$((e_2)_{\phi\phi})_{\ell m} = ((\cos \theta) \partial_\theta - (\sin \theta) \partial_{\theta\phi}^2) Y_{\ell m}. \quad (3.6)$$

This basis is normalised according to:

$$\int d\Omega \left[(e_1)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(e_2)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\int d\Omega \left[(e_1)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(e_1)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = \frac{2e^{-a}}{r^2} \ell(\ell+1) \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\int d\Omega \left[(e_2)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(e_2)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = \frac{\ell(\ell+1)(\ell+2)(\ell-1)}{2r^4} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\int d\Omega(\) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta(\)$, and where these indices are raised and lowered using the background 3-metric γ^{ij}, γ_{ij} . Note that both $[(e_1)_{ij}]_{\ell m}$ and $[(e_2)_{ij}]_{\ell m}$ are traceless.

In the standard $(3+1)$ decomposition for the gravitational field [16], the 4-metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is decomposed into the spatial 3-metric $h_{ij} = g_{ij}$ on a hypersurface $\{x^0 = \text{const.}\}$, together with the lapse function N and the shift vector field N^i . For odd-parity perturbations of the lapse, one has

$$N^{(1)(-)} = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

while the odd-parity shift takes the form

$$\left[N_i^{(-)}\right]_{\ell m} = h_{0\ell m}^{(-)}(t, r) \left[0, -\frac{1}{(\sin \theta)}(\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}), (\sin \theta)(\partial_\theta Y_{\ell m})\right]. \quad (3.11)$$

For a real 4-metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, both $h_{ij}^{(1)}$ and $N_i^{(1)(-)}$ are real, and one has

$$h_{0,1,2\ell m}^{(-)*} = (-1)^m h_{0,1,2\ell, -m}^{(-)}. \quad (3.12)$$

In the Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity, the momentum π^{ij} conjugate to the 'coordinate' h_{ij} is a symmetric spatial tensor density. As with the 3-metric h_{ij} above [Eq.(3.1)], the linearised perturbations of π_{ij} can be decomposed into multipoles with odd or even parity:

$$\pi_{ij}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \left[(\pi_{ij}^{(-)})_{\ell m}(x) + (\pi_{ij}^{(+)})_{\ell m}(x) \right]. \quad (3.13)$$

Restricting attention at present to the odd modes, one has

$$(\pi_{ij}^{(-)})_{\ell m} = ({}^{(3)}\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ p_{1\ell m}(t, r) [(e_1)_{ij}]_{\ell m} + p_{2\ell m}(t, r) [(e_2)_{ij}]_{\ell m} \right\}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $[(e_1)_{ij}]_{\ell m}$ and $[(e_2)_{ij}]_{\ell m}$ are given above. One finds that

$$p_{1\ell m}(t, r) = \frac{1}{2N^{(0)}} \left[\partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(-)} - r^2 \partial_r \left(\frac{h_{0\ell m}^{(-)}}{r^2} \right) \right], \quad (3.15)$$

$$p_{2\ell m}(t, r) = \frac{1}{2N^{(0)}} \left[\partial_t h_{2\ell m}^{(-)} + 2h_{0\ell m}^{(-)} \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

One can typically simplify the form of the perturbations by a gauge transformation (linearised coordinate transformation) in a neighbourhood of the final space-like hypersurface Σ_F . Suppose that the infinitesimal transformation is along a vector field ξ^μ . Then the metric perturbations transform infinitesimally by

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu - \nabla_\nu \xi_\mu. \quad (3.17)$$

For odd perturbations, in the notation of Eq.(2.2), consider the infinitesimal vector field $\xi^{(-)\mu}$, with components [7] given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi^{(-)t})_{\ell m} &= 0, & (\xi^{(-)r})_{\ell m} &= 0, \\ (\xi^{(-)a})_{\ell m} &= \frac{\Lambda_{\ell m}(t, r)}{r^2} (\Phi_{\ell m})^a. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

The resulting 'gauge transformation' is summarised by

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(-)'} = h_{0\ell m}^{(-)} - \partial_t \Lambda_{\ell m} , \quad (3.19)$$

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(-)'} = h_{1\ell m}^{(-)} - \partial_r \Lambda_{\ell m} + \frac{2\Lambda_{\ell m}}{r} , \quad (3.20)$$

$$h_{2\ell m}^{(-)'} = h_{2\ell m}^{(-)} + 2 \Lambda_{\ell m} . \quad (3.21)$$

We have here neglected time-derivatives of the metric components: we are assuming that an Ansatz for the gauge functions $\Lambda_{\ell m}(t, r)$ based on separation of variables will be valid, involving frequencies which satisfy the adiabatic approximation [2,3] described below. In the Regge-Wheeler gauge [7], we set $h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} = h_{0\ell m}^{(-)'}$ and $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} = h_{1\ell m}^{(-)'}$, as in Eqs.(3.19,20), but require also

$$h_{2\ell m}^{(-)RW} = 0 = h_{2\ell m}^{(-)} + 2 \Lambda_{\ell m} . \quad (3.22)$$

For each ℓ , one can obtain solutions for arbitrary m by rotation from the case $m = 0$. Note also that the above equations show how the RW perturbations can be uniquely recovered from the perturbations in an arbitrary gauge.

Since odd-and even-parity perturbations decouple, the odd-parity field equations in the RW gauge are obtained by substituting Eq.(2.7), together with the equation $N_i^{(-)} = h_{ti}^{(-)}$ for the linearised shift vector, into the source-free linearised Einstein field equations [16], as given in [17]. The (Lorentzian) spherically-symmetric background metric is taken, as in [2,3], to be:

$$ds^2 = -e^{b(t,r)} dt^2 + e^{a(t,r)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (3.23)$$

Then the odd-parity linearised field equations, taking respectively the $(t\phi)$, $(r\phi)$ and $(\theta\phi)$ components, read:

$$(\partial_r)^2 h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} - \partial_t \partial_r h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} - \frac{2}{r} \partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} + F_{1\ell}(t, r) h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t)^2 h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} - \partial_t \partial_r h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} + \frac{2}{r} \partial_t h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{a} + \dot{b}) \left[\partial_r h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} - \partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} \right] \\ & - \left[\frac{1}{r}(\dot{a} + \dot{b}) + \frac{1}{2}b'(\dot{a} - \dot{b}) \right] h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} - F_{2\ell}(t, r) h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\partial_t \left[e^{(a-b)/2} h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} \right] - \partial_r \left[e^{(b-a)/2} h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} \right] = 0 . \quad (3.26)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1\ell}(t, r) &= \frac{e^a}{r^2} \left[\frac{4m}{r} + 4m' - \ell(\ell+1) \right] \\ &+ e^{a-b} \left[\ddot{a} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}(\dot{a} - \dot{b}) \right] + Z , \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$F_{2\ell}(t, r) = -\frac{e^b}{r^2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1) + Z e^{b-a} + \ddot{a} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}(\dot{a}-\dot{b}), \quad (3.28)$$

with

$$Z = -\frac{2e^a}{r} \left[m'' + \frac{2(m')^2}{r^2} e^a + \frac{2mm'}{r} e^a \right]. \quad (3.29)$$

As usual, we define the 'mass function' $m(t, r)$ by

$$e^{-a(t, r)} = 1 - \frac{2m(t, r)}{r}. \quad (3.30)$$

The Einstein field equations imply

$$m' = 4\pi r^2 \rho. \quad (3.31)$$

Here, ρ is the total energy density of all the radiative fields; in the present case ρ has contributions from $s = 0$ (massless scalar), $s = 2$ (graviton) and, if the Lagrangian contains Maxwell or Yang-Mills fields, also $s = 1$.

The back-reaction due to the accumulated effects of the energy density ρ over very long time-scales, was discussed particularly in [17]. The net effect of the outgoing radiation on the background geometry $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$, which is spherically symmetric on averaging over time and angles, is to generate a space-time with (approximately) a Vaidya metric [18,19], depending on the mass function $m(t, r)$ above. In this space-time, with metric written in the form of Eq.(3.20), one has [17]:

$$e^{b(t, r)} = \left[\frac{\dot{m}}{f(m)} \right]^2 \left[1 - \frac{2m(t, r)}{r} \right], \quad (3.32)$$

where $f(m)$ is, as yet, arbitrary, and where $m(t, r)$ obeys

$$m' = f(m) \left[1 - \frac{2m(t, r)}{r} \right]^{-1}. \quad (3.33)$$

The most natural choice for $f(m)$, which respects asymptotic flatness and has purely outgoing radiation at large radii, is to take

$$f(m) = -\dot{m}. \quad (3.34)$$

This may be interpreted as the 'luminosity of the black hole'. A stellar-mass non-rotating black hole which only emits massless particles loses mass at a rate $\dot{m} \propto -m^{-2}$ [20]. Following Eq.(3.30), a corresponding mass function which changes only slowly with time must also change slowly with radius. At a very late time t , one expects that the mass function $m(t, r)$ is extremely close to zero near the 'centre of symmetry' $r = 0$ on the final space-like hypersurface Σ_F , that $m(t, r)$ increases very slowly with r , as one moves outward through the region containing radiation, and that $m(t, r)$ settles at the total ADM (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner) mass [16] M_I as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

As with the $s = 0$ (scalar) perturbations, as treated in [2,3,21], we envisage making use of a Fourier-type expansion (with respect to time) for the $s = 2$ gravitational perturbations. For frequencies and angular momenta much stronger than those associated with the background space-time [for the $s = 0$ scalar case, see [3]. especially Eqs.(2.18,19,22)] therein, one has

$$|k| \gg \frac{1}{2} |\dot{a} - \dot{b}| \quad , \quad (3.35)$$

$$\ell(\ell + 1) \gg 2m' \quad , \quad (3.36)$$

$$m' \ll 1 \quad . \quad (3.37)$$

In this case, we can again apply an adiabatic approximation for the metric perturbations, in which all time derivatives of the (background) metric components in the field equations are neglected; correspondingly, by Eq.(3.34), all radial derivatives of the mass function $m(t, r)$ are neglected, in leading order. We apply this to the odd-parity linearised Einstein equations (3.21-28). (A similar procedure will be adopted for the even-parity equations in Secs.7,8.) The main consequence is that, in the well-known perturbation equations on a Schwarzschild background [7], the Schwarzschild mass M is replaced by $m(r)$, namely, the mass inside a radius r . Since the final space-like hypersurface Σ_F is taken to be at an extremely late time T , the black-hole radiation will only be present significantly on Σ_F at very large radii r , whence $a' + b' \simeq 0$ and $e^b \simeq e^{-a}$. This is the regime studied principally in this paper, in order to compute the linearised classical gravitational-wave solution subject to boundary data specified on Σ_I and Σ_F (with T rotated into the complex), and hence the quantum amplitude for the final wave data. The underlying approach is the same as in [2,3,17,21] for massless-scalar ($s = 0$) modes, and in [1] for $s = 1$ Maxwell modes.

4 Classical action for odd-parity metric perturbations

We can now compute the odd-parity contribution to the classical gravitational action [3]. In an arbitrary gauge, one has

$$S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}[h_{ij}^{(1)}] = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_{\Sigma_F} d^3x \pi^{(1)ij} h_{ij}^{(1)} . \quad (4.1)$$

On discarding a total divergence, the spin-2 classical action can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{class}}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{64\pi} \int_{\Sigma_F} d^3x \sqrt{{}^{(3)}\gamma} n^{(0)\mu} \left(\bar{h}^{(1)\mu\nu} \nabla_\alpha h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} - 2h_{\alpha\nu}^{(1)} \nabla_\rho h^{(1)\nu\rho} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma_F} d^3x \sqrt{{}^{(3)}\gamma} \frac{N_i^{(1)}}{N^{(0)}} \bar{h}^{(1)ik}{}_{|k} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Since odd- and even-parity perturbations are orthogonal, there are no cross-terms in the action. In an arbitrary gauge, the odd-parity contribution to the classical gravitational action can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}[(h_{ij}^{(-)})_{\ell m}] &= \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_{\Sigma_F} d^3x \sum_{\ell\ell'mm'} \left(\pi^{(-)ij} \right)_{\ell m} \left(h_{ij}^{(-)} \right)_{\ell'm'}^* \\
&= \frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \ell(\ell+1) \int_0^{R\infty} dr h_{1\ell m}^{(-)*} \left(\partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(-)} + \frac{2}{r} h_{0\ell m}^{(-)} - \partial_r h_{0\ell m}^{(-)} \right) \Big|_T \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{128\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \frac{(\ell+2)!}{(\ell-2)!} \int_0^{R\infty} dr e^a \frac{h_{2\ell m}^{(-)*}}{r^2} \left(\partial_t h_{2\ell m}^{(-)} + 2h_{0\ell m}^{(-)} \right) \Big|_T,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Here, we have used Eqs.(3.7-9,15,16), and have taken the perturbations to vanish initially. Note that, if we were to evaluate Eq.(4.3) in the RW gauge, for which $h_{2\ell m}^{(-)RW} = 0$, so that the second integral would vanish, and then substitute for the Regge-Wheeler functions *via* Eqs.(3.19-22), then, in the adiabatic approximation, we would arrive back at Eq.(4.3) up to a boundary term

$$h_{2\ell m}^{(-)*} P_{\ell m}^{(-)} \Big|_{r=0}^{r=R\infty} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$P_{\ell m}^{(-)} = \ell(\ell+1) \left[\partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(-)} - r^2 \partial_r \left(\frac{h_{0\ell m}^{(-)}}{r^2} \right) \right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus, $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}[(h_{ij}^{(-)})_{\ell m}]$ is gauge-invariant up to a boundary term. We shall return below to the question of boundary conditions for the odd-parity perturbations.

At first sight, the odd-parity action looks unwieldy. Ideally, we would like to work with a classical action (both for odd and for even parity) in the form $\int dr \psi(\partial_t \psi)$, of the same general kind as in the massless-scalar classical action in [2,3]. In the present gravitational case, ψ would ideally also be gauge-invariant and would obey a wave equation with a real potential. To achieve this form, first use Eqs.(3.19-22) for the RW functions, and substitute them into the field equation (3.25), to obtain

$$\partial_t P_{\ell m}^{(-)} = \ell(\ell+1) F_{2\ell}(r) \left[h_{1\ell m}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \left(\frac{h_{2\ell m}^{(-)}}{r^2} \right) \right], \tag{4.6}$$

$$\partial_r P_{\ell m}^{(-)} = -\frac{2 P_{\ell m}^{(-)}}{r} + \ell(\ell+1) \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{1\ell}(r) + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \left(\partial_t h_{2\ell m}^{(-)} + 2h_{0\ell m}^{(-)} \right). \tag{4.7}$$

When we substitute into Eq.(4.3), using Eq.(4.6) for $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)}$, and then use Eqs.(3.28,4.7), the boundary term (4.4) vanishes. As a consequence, we find in the adiabatic approximation that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}[(h_{ij}^{(-)})_{\ell m}] &= -\frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \int_0^{R\infty} dr e^a \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)} \left(\partial_t \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)*} \right) \Big|_{t=T},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)}$ is defined by

$$\xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)} = r P_{\ell m}^{(-)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Eqs.(3.19-21) show that $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)}$ is gauge-invariant. Indeed, $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)}$ is related to Moncrief's [15] gauge-invariant generalisation of the Zerilli function, $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)}$ [22,23], defined as

$$Q_{\ell m}^{(-)} = \frac{e^{-a}}{r} \left[h_{1\ell m}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \partial_r \left(\frac{h_{2\ell m}^{(-)}}{r^2} \right) \right], \quad (4.10)$$

by

$$Q_{\ell m}^{(-)} = -\frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \left(\partial_t \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)} \right), \quad (4.11)$$

which, in effect, replicates Eq.(4.6). Note the simplifying property of $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)}$, namely, that it is written entirely in terms of perturbations of the 3-geometry (our chosen boundary data). Further, $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)}$ is automatically gauge-invariant, since it is independent of the perturbed lapse and shift.

In the adiabatic approximation, the function $\xi_{\ell m}^{(-)}$ obeys the wave equation, of RW type:

$$e^{-a} \partial_r \left[e^{-a} \left(\partial_r \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)} \right) \right] - (\partial_t)^2 \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)} - V_{\ell}^{(-)}(r) \xi_{\ell m}^{(-)} = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$V_{\ell}^{(-)}(r) = e^{-a} \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{6 m(r)}{r^3} \right] > 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Further, in the adiabatic approximation, the function $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)}$ obeys the same equation (4.12). In the RW gauge, one would solve for $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)RW}$, then determine $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ from Eq.(4.10), and then determine $h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ with the help of Eq.(3.26).

5 Boundary conditions for odd-parity perturbations

In classical Lorentzian general relativity, one would expect to choose regular Cauchy data on an initial space-like hypersurface Σ_I , which would then evolve smoothly into $\{x^0 > 0\}$, subject to the linear hyperbolic equation (4.12). A natural initial condition, for given quantum numbers ℓm [24], would be to assume an initially stationary odd-parity multipole:

$$\partial_t \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)}|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

The combined Einstein/massless-scalar boundary-value problem, originally posed in [2,3], for complex time-separation $T = |T| \exp(-i\theta)$, $0 < \theta \leq \pi/2$, involved specifying the intrinsic 3-metric $(h_{ij})_{I,F}$ and the value of the scalar field

$(\phi)_{I,F}$ on the initial and final space-like hypersurfaces Σ_I, Σ_F . By Eq.(4.11), the above Eq.(5.1) reads

$$Q_{\ell m}^{(-)}(0, r) = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(-)}(0, r) = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

$$h_{2\ell m}^{(-)}(0, r) = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

We therefore take these as our (Dirichlet) boundary conditions on the odd-parity gravitational perturbations, on the initial surface Σ_I , even though they may have arisen from consideration of the Cauchy problem.

In [2,3,21] for the $s = 0$ case and in [1] for the $s = 1$ (Maxwell) case, we made use of the adiabatic approximation in order to separate the perturbation problem with respect to the variables t and r . Here, for $s = 2$, we first separate the odd-parity Eqs.(3.24,25) in the RW gauge, and then use Eqs.(3.19-22) to determine the time-dependence (in particular) in any gauge.

As in the massless-scalar ($s = 0$) case, we introduce a 'Fourier-type expansion'

$$h_{0,1,2\ell m}^{(-)RW}(t, r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk a_{k\ell m}^{(-)} h_{0,1,2k\ell m}^{(-)RW}(t, r), \quad (5.5)$$

where the $\{a_{k\ell m}^{(-)}\}$ are certain odd-parity 'Fourier' coefficients. With suitable treatment of any arbitrary phase factors involved, in order to separate the odd-parity field equations (3.21,22) in the adiabatic approximation, one must have

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW}(t, r) \propto \cos(kt), \quad (5.6)$$

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW}(t, r) \propto \sin(kt), \quad (5.7)$$

(Of course, the normal-mode e^{-ikt} time dependence for the functions $h_{0,1,\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ also satisfies the field equations.) In Eq.(3.22), if $h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW}$, which is related to the odd-parity shift and can thus be freely specified, has $\cos(kt)$ time dependence, then $h_{0\ell m}^{(-)}$ must have the same time-dependence, while $\Lambda_{\ell m}(t, r)$ must have $\sin(kt)$ time dependence. But, by Eq.(3.19), $h_{2\ell m}^{(-)}$ must then have $\sin(kt)$ time-dependence. Similarly, from Eq.(3.20), given that $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ has $\sin(kt)$ time-dependence, $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)}$ must also have $\sin(kt)$ time-dependence, as must $\Lambda_{\ell m}(t, r)$. These conclusions are consistent with our choice of boundary conditions (5.3,4). Noting Eqs.(4.5,10,11), the Dirichlet conditions (5.3,4) are equivalent to the boundary condition (5.1), which is analogous to a specification of momenta in a $(\xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)}, \partial_t \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)})$ representation. This also accounts for the minus sign in Eq.(4.8).

6 Asymptotically-flat gauge

For large r , the potential term in Eq.(4.12) vanishes sufficiently rapidly that $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)}$ becomes a superposition of outgoing and ingoing waves at radial infinity.

Note that $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)}$ also obeys Eq.(4.12); thus, Eq.(4.10) in the RW gauge tells us that $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} = r Q_{\ell m}^{(-)RW} e^a = O(r)$ at large r . Now, the field equation (3.26) implies that

$$\partial_t h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} = e^{-a} \partial_r \left(r Q_{\ell m}^{(-)RW} \right). \quad (6.1)$$

That is, odd-parity metric perturbations diverge at large r , in the RW gauge. This is only a coordinate effect, as the Riemann-curvature invariants decay at a rate $O(r^{-1})$ at large r [8,9]. (A similar phenomenon occurs for the even-parity perturbations in the RW gauge.) Here, in the odd-parity case, we construct a gauge transformation to an asymptotically-flat (AF) gauge, in which the radiative behaviour of the metric perturbations becomes manifest.

Our odd-parity AF gauge is chosen such that

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(-)AF}(t, r) = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

Thus, in terms of the preceding RW gauge:

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(-)AF} = 0 = h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW} - \partial_t \Lambda_{\ell m}, \quad (6.3)$$

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(-)AF} = h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW} - \partial_r \Lambda_{\ell m} + \frac{2 \Lambda_{\ell m}}{r}, \quad (6.4)$$

$$h_{2\ell m}^{(-)AF} = 2 \Lambda_{\ell m}. \quad (6.5)$$

Given $h_{0\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ and $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ as a starting-point, one can, from the above, determine $\Lambda_{\ell m}(t, r)$ and hence $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)AF}$ and $h_{2\ell m}^{(-)AF}$. On substituting for $h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW}$ from Eq.(6.4) into Eq.(3.22), one finds

$$(\partial_t)^2 h_{1\ell m}^{(-)AF} = -\frac{2 \lambda e^{-a}}{r^2} h_{1\ell m}^{(-)RW}. \quad (6.6)$$

Now, following the approach used throughout when studying boundary conditions at the final surface Σ_F ($t = T$), set:

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(-)AF}(t, r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk a_{k\ell m}^{(-)} h_{1k\ell}^{(o)AF}(r) \frac{\sin(kt)}{\sin(kT)}, \quad (6.7)$$

where the $\{h_{1k\ell}^{(-)AF}(r)\}$ are real radial functions, and where $a_{k\ell m}^{(-)*} = (-1)^m a_{-k\ell, -m}^{(-)}$.

Similarly, construct a corresponding expansion for $Q_{\ell m}^{(-)RW}(t, r)$. Then, from Eq.(6.6), one has

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(-)AF}(t, r) = \frac{2\lambda}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \frac{a_{k\ell m}^{(-)}}{k^2} Q_{k\ell}^{(-)RW}(r) \frac{\sin(kt)}{\sin(kT)}, \quad (6.8)$$

which is $O(r^{-1})$ at large r , as required. On using Eq.(4.5), one further finds

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{2\ell m}^{(-)AF}(t, r) &= r \ell (\ell + 1) \left(\partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(-)AF} \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \hat{a}_{2k\ell m}^{(-)} \xi_{2k\ell}^{(-)AF}(r) \frac{\cos(kt)}{\sin(kT)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$\hat{a}_{2k\ell m}^{(-)} = k \ell (\ell + 1) a_{k\ell m}^{(-)}, \quad (6.10)$$

and where

$$\xi_{2k\ell}^{(-)AF}(r) = r h_{1k\ell}^{(-)AF}(r) \quad (6.11)$$

satisfies

$$e^{-a} \left(e^{-a} \xi_{2k\ell}^{(-)AF'} \right)' + \left[k^2 - V_\ell^{(-)}(r) \right] \xi_{2k\ell}^{(-)AF} = 0. \quad (6.12)$$

As in the spin-0 case [3] and in the spin-1 case [1], we have, for $k > 0$:

$$\xi_{2k\ell-}^{AF}(r) \sim r j_\ell(kr), \quad r \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.13)$$

$$\xi_{2k\ell-}^{AF}(r) \sim \left(z_{2k\ell-} \exp(ikr_s^*) + z_{2k\ell-}^* \exp(-ikr_s^*) \right), \quad r_s^* \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.14)$$

where the $j_\ell(z)$ are spherical Bessel functions, and where r_s^* is the Schwarzschild Regge-Wheeler coordinate [7,16]:

$$r_s^* = r + 2M \ln \left[(r - 2M)/2M \right]. \quad (6.15)$$

Here, M denotes the ADM (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner) mass of the 'space-time', as measured at spatial infinity [16]. Thence, one deduces the normalisation property

$$\int_0^{R\infty} dr e^a \xi_{2k\ell-}^{AF}(r) \xi_{2k'\ell-}^{AF}(r) \Big|_{\Sigma_F} = 2\pi |z_{2k\ell-}|^2 \left[\delta(k, k') + \delta(k, -k') \right]. \quad (6.16)$$

The resulting form of the classical action for odd-parity (spin-2) gravitational perturbations can then be expressed as a functional of the complex quantities $\{a_{2k\ell m-}\}$ which encode the boundary data on Σ_F for the odd-parity gravitational perturbations. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\text{class}}^{(2)} \left[\{a_{2k\ell m-}\} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \int_0^{\infty} dk k |z_{2k\ell-}|^2 \left| (a_{2k\ell m-}) - (a_{2,-k\ell m-}) \right|^2 \cot(kT) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

From this expression, one proceeds as in [3] (for spin-0) and [1] (for spin-1) to study the semi-classical quantum amplitude or wave function, proportional to $\exp(iS_{\text{class}}^{(2)})$, as a function of the complexified time-interval $T = |T| \exp(-i\theta)$, for $0 < \theta \leq \pi/2$. Just as in the spin-0 and spin-1 case, one straightforwardly recovers the complex Lorentzian amplitude for odd-parity gravitational perturbations, on taking the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0_+$.

7 Even-parity perturbations

Working with even-parity gravitational perturbations in the RW formalism is notoriously more difficult than working with those of odd parity. Yet, Chandrasekhar [25] showed that solutions to Zerilli's even-parity equation [22,23] [Eq.(8.10) below] can be expressed in terms of the odd-parity solutions. One might therefore expect that our results for the even-parity action should mirror those for the odd-parity action.

We expand the even-parity perturbations as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(h_{ij}^{(+)}\right)_{\ell m}(x) = & h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) \left[(f_1)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m} + H_{2\ell m}(t, r) e^{(a-b)/2} \left[(f_2)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \\ & + r^2 K_{\ell m}(t, r) \left[(f_3)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m} + r^2 G_{\ell m}(t, r) \left[(f_4)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

Here, the non-zero components of the (un-normalised) basis of the symmetric tensor spherical harmonics $((f_{1,2,3,4})_{ij})_{\ell m}$ are defined by

$$((f_1)_{r\theta})_{\ell m} = (\partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}) \quad , \quad (7.2)$$

$$((f_1)_{r\phi})_{\ell m} = (\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}) \quad , \quad (7.3)$$

$$((f_2)_{rr})_{\ell m} = Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (7.4)$$

$$((f_3)_{\theta\theta})_{\ell m} = Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (7.5)$$

$$((f_3)_{\phi\phi})_{\ell m} = (\sin^2\theta) Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (7.6)$$

$$((f_4)_{\theta\theta})_{\ell m} = (\partial_\theta)^2 Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (7.7)$$

$$((f_4)_{\theta\phi})_{\ell m} = (\partial_\theta \partial_\phi - (\cot\theta) \partial_\phi) Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (7.8)$$

$$((f_4)_{\phi\phi})_{\ell m} = ((\partial_\phi)^2 + (\sin\theta \cos\theta) \partial_\theta) Y_{\ell m} \quad , \quad (7.9)$$

The non-zero inner products are given by

$$\int d\Omega \left[(f_1)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(f_1)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = \frac{2 e^{-a}}{r^2} \ell(\ell+1) \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} \quad , \quad (7.10)$$

$$\int d\Omega \left[(f_2)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(f_2)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = e^{-2a} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} \quad , \quad (7.11)$$

$$\int d\Omega \left[(f_3)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(f_3)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = \frac{2}{r^4} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} \quad , \quad (7.12)$$

$$\int d\Omega \left[(f_4)^{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(f_4)_{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = \left(\frac{\Lambda_\ell(\Lambda_\ell - 1)}{r^4}\right) \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} \quad , \quad (7.13)$$

$$\int d\Omega \left[(f_3)_{ij}\right]_{\ell m} \left[(f_4)^{ij}\right]_{\ell' m'}^* = -\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^4} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} \quad , \quad (7.14)$$

where we define $\Lambda_\ell = \ell(\ell+1)$. The even-parity basis is also orthogonal to the odd-parity basis of Sec.3.

Further, for the even-parity perturbed shift, one can write

$$\left[N_i^{(+)} \right]_{\ell m} = \left[H_{1\ell m}(t, r) Y_{\ell m}, h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) (\partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}), h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) (\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}) \right]. \quad (7.15)$$

For the perturbed lapse,

$$\left[N^{(1)(+)} \right]_{\ell m} = -\frac{1}{2} H_{0\ell m}(t, r) e^{-\frac{1}{2}a} Y_{\ell m}. \quad (7.16)$$

Again, $H_{0\ell m}^* = (-1)^m H_{0\ell, -m}$, etc. Hence, for the linear-order perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ of the 4-dimensional metric, the quantities $h_{tt}^{(1)}, h_{rr}^{(1)}$ and $h_{tr}^{(1)}$ behave as scalars under rotations (their odd-parity part vanishes), while $h_{t\theta}^{(1)}, h_{t\phi}^{(1)}, h_{r\theta}^{(1)}$, and $h_{r\phi}^{(1)}$ behave as vectors and, for $a = \theta, \phi$, $b = \theta, \phi$, the 2×2 angular block $h_{ab}^{(1)}$ is a tensor under rotations.

The even-parity gravitational momentum components can, correspondingly, be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_{ij}^{(+)})_{\ell m} = & ({}^3\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(P_{h1\ell m}(t, r) \left[(f_1)_{ij} \right]_{\ell m} + P_{H2\ell m}(t, r) \left[(f_2)_{ij} \right]_{\ell m} \right. \\ & \left. + r^2 P_{K\ell m}(t, r) \left[(f_3)_{ij} \right]_{\ell m} + r^2 P_{G\ell m}(t, r) \left[(f_4)_{ij} \right]_{\ell m} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

Again, one can easily show that the P 's in Eq.(7.17) are related to h_1, H_2, K , and G of the corresponding Eq.(7.1)

$$P_{h1\ell m}(t, r) = \frac{1}{2} e^{a/2} \left[(\partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}) - r^2 \partial_r \left(\frac{h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}}{r^2} \right) \right], \quad (7.18)$$

$$P_{G\ell m}(t, r) = \frac{1}{2} e^{a/2} \left((\partial_t G_{\ell m}) - \frac{2h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}}{r^2} \right), \quad (7.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{K\ell m}(t, r) = & -\frac{1}{2} e^{a/2} \left[(\partial_t H_{2\ell m}) + (\partial_t K_{\ell m}) + \left(a' - \frac{2}{r} \right) e^{-a} H_{1\ell m} \right. \\ & \left. - 2 e^{-a} (\partial_r H_{1\ell m}) + \frac{2\ell(\ell+1) h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}}{r^2} - \ell(\ell+1) (\partial_t G_{\ell m}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{H2\ell m}(t, r) = & -e^{a/2} (\partial_t K_{\ell m}) + \frac{2}{r} e^{-a/2} H_{1\ell m} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1) h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}}{r^2} e^{a/2} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \ell(\ell+1) e^{a/2} (\partial_t G_{\ell m}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

For even-parity gravitational perturbations, gauge transformations are induced by even-parity gauge vector fields $(\xi^{(+)\mu})_{\ell m}$, of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi^{(+t)})_{\ell m} &= X_{0\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) Y_{\ell m}, \quad (\xi^{(+)r})_{\ell m} = X_{1\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) Y_{\ell m}, \\ (\xi^{(+) \theta})_{\ell m} &= X_{2\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}, \quad (\xi^{(+) \phi})_{\ell m} = \frac{X_{2\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r)}{\sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

Within the adiabatic approximation, these induce the following even-parity gauge transformations:

$$H'_{0\ell m} = H_{0\ell m} - a' X_{1\ell m}^{(+)} + 2 \left(\partial_t X_{0\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (7.23)$$

$$H'_{1\ell m} = H_{1\ell m} - e^{-a} \left(\partial_r X_{0\ell m}^{(+)} \right) - e^a \left(\partial_t X_{1\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (7.24)$$

$$H'_{2\ell m} = H_{2\ell m} - a' X_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - 2 \left(\partial_r X_{1\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (7.25)$$

$$K'_{\ell m} = K_{\ell m} - \frac{2 X_{1\ell m}^{(+)}}{r}, \quad (7.26)$$

$$G'_{\ell m} = G_{\ell m} - 2 X_{2\ell m}^{(+)}, \quad (7.27)$$

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(e)'} = h_{0\ell m}^{(+)} + e^{-a} X_{0\ell m}^{(+)} - r^2 \left(\partial_t X_{2\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (7.28)$$

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(+)' } = h_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - e^a X_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - r^2 \left(\partial_r X_{2\ell m}^{(+)} \right). \quad (7.29)$$

As in the odd-parity case, we would like to construct gauge-invariant combinations of components of the perturbed 3-geometry. Following [15], we define

$$k_{1\ell m} = K_{\ell m} + e^{-a} \left(r (\partial_r G_{\ell m}) - \frac{2 h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}}{r} \right), \quad (7.26)$$

$$k_{2\ell m} = \frac{1}{2} \left[e^a H_{2\ell m} - e^{a/2} \partial_r \left(r e^{a/2} K_{\ell m} \right) \right] \quad (7.27)$$

It can be shown that both the functions $k_{1\ell m}$ and $k_{2\ell m}$ are gauge-invariant. For future use, in the calculation of the even-parity classical action, we define [15] the linear combination of $k_{1\ell m}$ and $k_{2\ell m}$:

$$q_{1\ell m} = r \ell (\ell + 1) k_{1\ell m} + 4 r e^{-2a} k_{2\ell m}. \quad (7.32)$$

At this stage, as with the odd-parity case, we again make use of the property of the uniqueness of the (even-parity) RW gauge. In the RW gauge, one has

$$\begin{aligned} H_{0\ell m}^{RW} &= H_{0\ell m} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 a' e^{-a} \left(\frac{2 h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}}{r^2} - (\partial_r G_{\ell m}) \right) + r^2 e^a (\partial_t)^2 G_{\ell m} \\ &\quad - 2 e^a (\partial_t h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1\ell m}^{RW} &= H_{1\ell m} + r^2 (\partial_r \partial_t G_{\ell m}) (\partial_r h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}) - (\partial_t h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}) + r \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r a' \right) (\partial_t G_{\ell m}) \\ &\quad - a' h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2\ell m}^{RW} &= H_{2\ell m} + \left(a' - \frac{4}{r} \right) e^{-a} \left(h_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 (\partial_r G_{\ell m}) \right) \\ &\quad + r^2 e^{-a} \left[(\partial_r)^2 G_{\ell m} - 2 \partial_r \left(\frac{h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}}{r^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

Further,

$$K_{\ell m}^{RW} = K_{\ell m} - \frac{2 e^{-a}}{r} (h_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 (\partial_r G_{\ell m})); \quad (7.36)$$

with

$$G_{\ell m}^{RW} = 0 = G_{\ell m} - 2X_{2\ell m}^{(+)}, \quad (7.37)$$

together with

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(+)\text{RW}} = 0, \quad (7.38)$$

and

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(+)\text{RW}} = 0, \quad (7.39)$$

where (in the RW gauge)

$$X_{0\ell m}^{(+)} = e^{-b} \left(\frac{1}{2} r^2 (\partial_t G_{\ell m}) - h_{0\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (7.40)$$

$$X_{1\ell m}^{(+)} = e^{-a} \left(h_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 (\partial_r G_{\ell m}) \right), \quad (7.41)$$

$$X_{2\ell m}^{(+)} = \frac{1}{2} G_{\ell m}. \quad (7.42)$$

At late times, following the gravitational collapse to a black hole, in the absence of background matter and in the adiabatic approximation, the even-parity RW field equations are seven coupled equations for the four unknowns $(H_{0\ell m}^{RW}, H_{1\ell m}^{RW}, H_{2\ell m}^{RW}, K_{\ell m}^{RW})$. Assuming that $\ell \geq 2$ — that is, that we are studying dynamical modes — we give here those RW field equations which are of first order in r and t [23]. These are, respectively, the $(t\theta)$, (tr) and $(r\theta)$ components of the linearised field equations:

$$\left(\partial_r H_{1\ell m}^{RW} \right) + \frac{2m}{r^2} e^a H_{1\ell m}^{RW} = e^a \partial_t \left(K_{\ell m}^{RW} + H_{2\ell m}^{RW} \right), \quad (7.43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \ell(\ell+1) H_{1\ell m}^{RW} &= -r^2 (\partial_t \partial_r K_{\ell m}^{RW}) + r^2 \left(\partial_t H_{0\ell m}^{RW} \right) \\ &\quad - r e^a \left(1 - \frac{3m}{r} \right) \left(\partial_t K_{\ell m}^{RW} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.44)$$

$$\left(\partial_t H_{1\ell m}^{RW} \right) = e^{-a} \left(\partial_r H_{0\ell m}^{RW} \right) - e^{-a} \left(\partial_r K_{\ell m}^{RW} \right) + \frac{2m}{r^2} H_{0\ell m}^{RW}, \quad (7.45)$$

and the $(\theta\phi)$ component

$$H_{0\ell m}^{RW} = H_{2\ell m}^{RW} \equiv H_{\ell m}^{RW}. \quad (7.46)$$

We also give one second-order equation, namely, the (rr) component:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2a} (\partial_t)^2 K_{\ell m}^{RW} &= \frac{2}{r} e^a \left(\partial_t H_{1\ell m}^{RW} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \left(\partial_r H_{2\ell m}^{RW} \right) + \frac{e^a}{r} \left(1 - \frac{m}{r} \right) \left(\partial_r K_{\ell m}^{RW} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{e^a}{2 r^2} (\ell+2)(\ell-1) \left(K_{\ell m}^{RW} - H_{2\ell m}^{RW} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.47)$$

Following Eq.(7.44), we find, for the gauge-invariant component defined in Eq.(7.32):

$$(\partial_t q_{1\ell m}) = \ell(\ell+1) \left(r(\partial_t K_{\ell m}^{RW}) - e^{-a} H_{1\ell m}^{RW} \right). \quad (7.48)$$

We also find

$$(\partial_r q_{1\ell m}) = -\ell(\ell+1) \left[2 e^{-a} k_{2\ell m} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} r a' \right) k_{1\ell m} \right], \quad (7.49)$$

where, in the RW gauge,

$$q_{1\ell m} \equiv 2 r e^{-a} H_{\ell m}^{RW} - 2 r^2 e^{-a} \left(\partial_r K_{\ell m}^{RW} \right) + 2(\lambda r + 3 m) K_{\ell m}^{RW} \quad (7.50)$$

with $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(\ell+2)(\ell-1)$. We can now solve for $K_{1\ell m}$, $k_{2\ell m}$ in terms of $q_{1\ell m}$ and its radial derivative $(\partial_r q_{1\ell m})$, giving

$$k_{1\ell m} = \frac{1}{2(\lambda r + 3 m)} \left[\frac{r e^{-a} (\partial_r q_{1\ell m})}{(\lambda + 1)} + q_{1\ell m} \right] \quad (7.51)$$

$$k_{2\ell m} = -\frac{e^a}{4(\lambda r + 3 m)} \left[r(\partial_r q_{1\ell m}) + e^a \left(1 - \frac{3m}{r} \right) q_{1\ell m} \right] \quad (7.52)$$

Further, $H_{\ell m}^{RW}$ and $K_{\ell m}^{RW}$ can also be written in terms of $k_{1\ell m}$ and $k_{2\ell m}$.

8 Classical action for even-parity metric perturbations

As in the case of odd-parity gravitational perturbations, we can exploit the uniqueness of the RW gauge to simplify the even-parity action and to obtain a general gauge-invariant form for the even-parity classical action $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$. In the RW gauge, this is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{class}}^{(2)} \left[(h_{ij}^{(+)})_{\ell m} \right] &= \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_{\Sigma_F} d^3x \sum_{\ell' m' m} \left(\pi^{(+)}_{ij} \right)_{\ell m} \left(h_{ij}^{(+)} \right)_{\ell' m'}^* \\ &+ \frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{\ell m} \int_0^{R\infty} dr e^{a/2} \left(H_{\ell m}^{RW*} P_{H2\ell m}^{RW} + 2 K_{\ell m}^{RW*} P_{K\ell m}^{RW} \right) \Big|_T \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Again, we would like to put the action into the form $\int dr \psi (\partial_t \psi)$, where ψ is gauge-invariant and obeys a wave equation. Since $q_{1\ell m}$ is the only unconstrained gauge-invariant even-parity quantity which involves only perturbations of the intrinsic 3-geometry, one might expect that Eq.(8.1) should reduce to the form

$$S_{\text{class}}^{(2)} \left[\{q_{1\ell m}\} \right] = \frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \int_0^{R\infty} dr \left(\pi_{1\ell m} q_{1\ell m}^* + (\partial_r Z_{\ell m}) \right) \Big|_{t=T}, \quad (8.2)$$

for some variable $Z_{\ell m}$, where $\pi_{1\ell m}$ is the gauge-invariant momentum conjugate to $q_{1\ell m}$. This is in fact the case. First, make in Eq.(8.1) the substitutions (as mentioned at the end of Sec.7) for $H_{2\ell m}^{RW}$ and $K_{\ell m}^{RW}$ in terms of $k_{1\ell m}$ and $k_{2\ell m}$; then substitute the expressions (7.51,52) for $k_{1\ell m}$ and $k_{2\ell m}$ in terms of $q_{1\ell m}$. After several integrations by parts, we arrive at an action of the form (8.2), with

$$\pi_{1\ell m} = \frac{r^2}{2(\lambda r + 3m)} \left[\hat{P}_{\ell m}^{RW} - \left(1 - \frac{3m}{r}\right) P_{H_2\ell m}^{RW} e^{3a/2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \left[\frac{r^3}{(\lambda r + 3m)} \left(\frac{\hat{P}_{\ell m}^{RW} e^{-a}}{(\lambda + 1)} - P_{H_2\ell m}^{RW} e^{a/2} \right) \right], \quad (8.3)$$

$$Z_{\ell m} = r^3 e^{a/2} P_{H_2\ell m}^{RW} K_{\ell m}^{RW} + \frac{r^3 q_{1\ell m}}{2(\lambda r + 3m)} \left[\frac{\hat{P}_{\ell m}^{RW} e^{-a}}{(\lambda + 1)} - P_{H_2\ell m}^{RW} e^{a/2} \right] \quad (8.4)$$

$$\hat{P}_{\ell m}^{RW} = e^{a/2} \left(2 P_{K\ell m}^{RW} - 2 P_{H_2\ell m}^{RW} - r \left(\partial_r P_{H_2\ell m}^{RW} \right) \right). \quad (8.5)$$

This expression for the even-parity classical action simplifies yet further, since the linearised field equations imply that

$$\hat{P}_{\ell m}^{RW} = \frac{(\lambda + 1)}{r} e^a H_{1\ell m}^{RW}. \quad (8.6)$$

Further, Eqs.(7.43,44) show, with the help of Eq.(7.48), that

$$\pi_{1\ell m} = \frac{\lambda e^a}{(2\lambda + \frac{6m}{r})} (\partial_t q_{1\ell m}), \quad (8.7)$$

Eq.(8.2) for the even-parity $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$ then reduces to an expression of the desired form:

$$S_{\text{class}}^{(2)} \left[\{ (h_{ij}^{(+)})_{\ell m} \} \right] = \frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \int_0^{R\infty} dr e^a \xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)} (\partial_t \xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)*}) \Big|_{t=T}, \quad (8.8)$$

where $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}$ is defined as

$$\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)} = \frac{\lambda q_{1\ell m}}{(\lambda + \frac{3m}{r})}. \quad (8.9)$$

We have used the property that the specified perturbations $h_{ij}^{(1)}|_{\Sigma_F}$ of the spatial 3-metric on the final boundary Σ_F are taken to be real. Of course, for the Dirichlet boundary-value problem with T rotated into the complex, the classical solution for the metric and scalar field will have an imaginary part and a real part.

Given the uniqueness of the RW gauge for even-parity modes, one can see that Eq.(8.8) for $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$ is in fact valid in any gauge, with a vanishing contribution from the total divergence as $q_{1\ell m}$ as given by Eq.(7.28), and therefore also $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}$, is gauge-invariant. There are obvious similarities between Eq.(8.8) and the classical massless-scalar action of [3], with $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}$ and $\xi_{0\ell m+}$ differing only by an ℓ -dependent normalisation factor. This should not be so surprising, as scalar spherical harmonics have even parity.

Again, one can show that the gauge-invariant quantity $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}$ satisfies Zerilli's equation [23]

$$e^{-a} \partial_r (e^{-a} (\partial_r \xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)})) - (\partial_t)^2 \xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)} - V_\ell^{(+)} \xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)} = 0 \quad , \quad (8.10)$$

$$V_\ell^{(+)} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \frac{(2\lambda^2(\lambda+1)r^3 + 6\lambda^2 m r^2 + 18\lambda m^2 r + 18m^3)}{r^3 (\lambda r + 3m)^2} > 0 \quad . \quad (8.11)$$

Now, both for odd and even parity, the field equations for the metric perturbations have been reduced to the two wave equations (4.12) and (8.10).

9 Boundary conditions for even-parity perturbations

In contrast to the odd-parity case, where we assumed an initially stationary multipole, here for even parity we treat $\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}$ by analogy with the massless-scalar-field quantity $\xi_{0\ell m+}$, and impose the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}(0, r) = 0 \quad (9.1)$$

at the initial surface Σ_I ($t = 0$). Proceeding now by analogy with the separation-of-variables analysis of Sec.5 for the odd-parity case, we find that, if $K_{\ell m}^{RW}$ has $\sin(kt)$ time-dependence, then so must $H_{\ell m}^{RW}$ also, whereas $H_{1\ell m}^{RW}$ must have $\cos(kt)$ time-dependence. Consistency with the gauge transformations (7.33-39) implies that these time dependences are valid in an arbitrary gauge, and further that $G_{\ell m}$ and $h_{1\ell m}^{(+)}$ have $\sin(kt)$ time dependence, whereas $h_{0\ell m}^{(+)}$ has $\cos(kt)$ time dependence. Consequently, $q_{1\ell m}$ must have $\sin(kt)$ time dependence, whence the boundary condition (9.1) is justified through Eq.(8.9). (Alternatively, one could instead have studied normal-mode time dependence.)

Following the scalar-field analysis of [3], we can write

$$\xi_{2\ell m}^{(+)}(t, r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \, a_{2k\ell m}^{(+)} \xi_{2k\ell}^{(+)}(r) \frac{\sin(kt)}{\sin(kT)} \quad , \quad (9.2)$$

where the $\{a_{2k\ell m}^{(+)}\}$ are suitable even-parity 'Fourier coefficients', and where $\{\xi_{2k\ell m}^{(+)}(r)\}$ are real radial functions. These functions satisfy

$$e^{-a} \frac{d}{dr} \left(e^{-a} \frac{d\xi_{2k\ell}^{(+)}}{dr} \right) + \left[k^2 - V_\ell^{(+)}(r) \right] \xi_{2k\ell}^{(+)} = 0 \quad . \quad (9.3)$$

Regularity at the origin implies that

$$\xi_{2k\ell}^{(+)}(r) \sim (\text{const.}) \times r j_\ell(kr) \quad (9.4)$$

for small r . Again, at large r , the potential vanishes sufficiently rapidly that $\xi_{2k\ell}^{(+)}(r)$ has the asymptotic form

$$\xi_{2k\ell}^{(+)}(r) \sim \left((z_{2k\ell}^{(+)}) \exp(ikr_s^*) + (z_{2k\ell}^{(+)*}) \exp(-ikr_s^*) \right), \quad (9.5)$$

where $\{z_{2k\ell}^{(+)}\}$ are complex constants. Then the classical action $S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}$ for even-parity gravitational perturbations reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{class}}^{(2)}[\{a_{2k\ell m}^{(+)}\}] \\ = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \int_0^{\infty} dk k |z_{2k\ell+}|^2 |(a_{2k\ell m+}) + (a_{2,-k\ell m+})|^2 \cot(kT) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (9.6)$$

where the notation is in line with that for spin-0 and for odd-parity fields. The coordinates $\{a_{2k\ell m+}\}$ label the configuration in k -space of the even-parity part of the metric perturbations on the final surface Σ_F .

Let us now re-assemble both the odd-and even-parity metric perturbations. As above, we consider for simplicity odd-parity metric perturbations which are initially static (Neumann problem) and even-parity metric perturbations which vanish initially (Dirichlet problem), on the space-like hypersurface Σ_I . The total classical spin-2 action is then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{class}}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{32\pi} \sum_{\ell m P} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)} P \int_0^{R\infty} dr e^{(a-b)/2} \xi_{2\ell m P} \left(\partial_t \xi_{2\ell m P}^* \right) \Big|_{\Sigma_F} \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \sum_{P=\pm} \frac{(\ell-2)!}{(\ell+2)!} \int_0^{\infty} dk k |z_{2k\ell P}|^2 |(a_{2k\ell m P}) + (P a_{2,-k\ell m P})|^2 \cot(kT) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

where the complex coefficients $\{a_{2k\ell m P}\}$ obey

$$a_{2k\ell m P} = P (-1)^m a_{2,-k\ell,-m P}^* \quad . \quad (9.8)$$

Here, P takes the value ± 1 according as the parity is even or odd.

As in the case of odd-parity metric perturbations (Sec.6), the even-parity metric perturbations also diverge at large r , except in a special gauge, the asymptotically-flat (AF) gauge. In the AF gauge for even parity, as for odd parity, all physical components $h_{(\mu)(\nu)}^{(1)} = |\gamma^{\mu\mu}\gamma^{\nu\nu}|^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ (that is, all components of $h_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ projected onto the legs of a pseudo-orthonormal tetrad oriented along the unperturbed (t, r, θ, ϕ) directions) fall off in the wave zone more rapidly than r^{-1} , except for the transverse (angular) components, which carry information

about the gravitational radiation. In the new (AF) gauge, for even parity, one has

$$h_{0\ell m}^{(+)\text{AF}} = H_{0\ell m}^{\text{AF}} = H_{1\ell m}^{\text{AF}} = 0 \quad (9.9)$$

Further, from the even-parity gauge transformations (7.23-29), one has

$$0 = H_{0\ell m}^{\text{RW}} - a' \hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)} + 2 \left(\partial_t \hat{X}_{0\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (9.10)$$

$$0 = H_{1\ell m}^{\text{RW}} + e^{-a} \left(\partial_r \hat{X}_{0\ell m}^{(+)} \right) - e^a \left(\partial_t \hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (9.11)$$

$$H_{2\ell m}^{\text{AF}} = H_{2\ell m}^{\text{RW}} - a' \hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - 2 \left(\partial_r \hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (9.12)$$

$$K_{\ell m}^{\text{AF}} = K_{\ell m}^{\text{RW}} - \frac{2 \hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)}}{r}, \quad (9.13)$$

$$G_{\ell m}^{\text{AF}} = -2 \hat{X}_{2\ell m}^{(+)}, \quad (9.14)$$

$$0 = e^{-a} \hat{X}_{0\ell m}^{(+)} - r^2 \left(\partial_t \hat{X}_{2\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (9.15)$$

$$h_{1\ell m}^{(+)\text{AF}} = -e^a \hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)} - r^2 \left(\partial_r \hat{X}_{2\ell m}^{(+)} \right), \quad (9.16)$$

where a hat denotes a gauge function in the AF gauge. Therefore, once given $H_{\ell m}^{\text{RW}}$, $H_{1\ell m}^{\text{RW}}$, and $K_{\ell m}^{\text{RW}}$, then Eqs.(9.10,11) can be solved for $\hat{X}_{0\ell m}^{(+)}$ and $\hat{X}_{1\ell m}^{(+)}$. Thence, Eq.(9.15) can be used, in order to solve for $\hat{X}_{2\ell m}^{(+)}$. In solving these equations, one chooses the arbitrary functions which arise such that asymptotic flatness is still satisfied. Thus, the AF gauge is consistent.

10 Boundary conditions in 2-spinor language

In parallel with Sec.6 of [1], which was concerned with $s = 1$ (Maxwell) perturbations, we describe here, in terms of 2-component spinor language [8,9,26], the boundary conditions found in Secs.5,9 to be appropriate both for odd- and even-parity vacuum $s = 2$ perturbations (gravitons). We claim that in (near-) vacuum, the $s = 2$ boundary data involve prescribing the magnetic part of the Weyl tensor $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ [8-11] on Σ_I and on Σ_F . For simplicity, in the preceding sections, we took the initial data on Σ_I to be zero. Of course, *in vacuo*, where the Ricci tensor obeys $R_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, one has $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, the Riemann tensor.

The algebraic symmetries of the Weyl tensor at a point are summarised by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= C_{[\alpha\beta][\gamma\delta]} = C_{\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}, \\ C_{\alpha[\beta\gamma\delta]} &= 0, \quad C_{\beta\alpha\delta}^\alpha = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10.1)$$

These imply that $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ has 10 algebraically independent components at each point. At a bounding space-like hypersurface, such as Σ_F , let n^μ denote the unit time-like (future-directed) normal vector to Σ_F . Then one can apply a (3+1) decomposition to $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, which splits into two symmetric trace-free spatial tensors, the electric part E_{ik} and the magnetic part H_{ik} of the Weyl tensor [10,11]. Thus, the 10 space-time components of $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ have been decomposed into the 5 spatial components of E_{ik} and 5 more of H_{ik} . (Correspondingly, in

Maxwell theory, the 6 non-trivial components of the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ become the 3 of E_i plus the 3 of B_i .)

For convenience of exposition, consider an 'adapted' coordinate system (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) in a neighbourhood of Σ_F , such that Σ_F lies at $x^0 = 0$, and such that $n^0 = 1$ at all points of Σ_F . The spatial 3-metric is, as usual, denoted by h_{ij} , and we write $h = \det(h_{ij})$. Then the electric part of the Weyl tensor is defined to be

$$E_{\alpha\gamma} = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} n^\beta n^\delta . \quad (10.2)$$

In an adapted coordinate system, this reads

$$E_{ik} = C_{i0k0} . \quad (10.3)$$

The magnetic part of the Weyl tensor is defined to be

$$H_{\alpha\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho\sigma} C_{\rho\sigma\gamma\delta} n^\rho n^\delta , \quad (10.4)$$

where

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = n_{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]} = (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \quad (10.5)$$

is the alternating tensor, with $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \epsilon_{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}$ the alternating symbol, normalised such that $\epsilon_{0123} = 0$. In an adapted coordinate system, one finds that

$$H_{ik} = -\frac{1}{2} h^{-1/2} h_{in} \epsilon^{n\ell m} C_{\ell mk0} . \quad (10.6)$$

Both E_{ik} and H_{ik} , so defined, are the components of 3-dimensional (spatial) tensors, obeying the algebraic restrictions (symmetric, traceless):

$$E_{ik} = E_{(ik)} , \quad h^{ik} E_{ik} = 0 ; \quad (10.7)$$

$$H_{ik} = H_{(ik)} , \quad h^{ik} H_{ik} = 0 . \quad (10.8)$$

By analogy with the vacuum Maxwell case for E_i, B_i of [1], here E_{ik} and H_{ik} also obey differential constraints on the bounding 3-surface. From the Bianchi identities [8,9] one has (*in vacuo*)

$${}^3\nabla_k E^{ik} = 0, \quad {}^3\nabla_k H^{ik} = 0 , \quad (10.9)$$

where ${}^3\nabla_k$ denotes an intrinsic 3-dimensional covariant derivative, which preserves the 3-metric h_{ij} .

In 2-component spinor language [8,9], one has

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} \tilde{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'} + \epsilon_{A'B'} \epsilon_{C'D'} \Psi_{ABCD} , \quad (10.10)$$

where

$$\Psi_{ABCD} = \Psi_{(ABCD)} \quad (10.11)$$

is the totally symmetric (complex) Weyl spinor, and (in Lorentzian signature) $\tilde{\Psi}_{A'B'C'D'}$ is its hermitian conjugate. The dual of the Weyl tensor is defined as

$${}^*C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} C^{\rho\sigma}{}_{\gamma\delta} . \quad (10.12)$$

One finds (cf. Sec.6 of [1]) that:

$$\left(C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + i {}^* C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \right) \leftrightarrow 2 \epsilon_{A'B'} \epsilon_{C'D'} \Psi_{ABCD} \quad . \quad (10.13)$$

The (vacuum) Bianchi identities read (again, cf. Sec.6 of [1]):

$$\nabla^{AA'} \Psi_{ABCD} = 0 \quad . \quad (10.14)$$

On the bounding surface Σ_F (say), one finds analogously that

$$E_{k\ell} + i H_{k\ell} = 2 \Psi_{ABCD} (n^A_{B'} e^{BB'}_k) (n^C_{D'} e^{DD'}_\ell) \quad (10.15)$$

and its hermitian conjugate. Thence, the magnetic tensor $H_{k\ell}$ is given by

$$H_{k\ell} = \left(-i \Psi_{ABCD} (n^A_{B'} e^{BB'}_k) (n^C_{D'} e^{DD'}_\ell) \right) + [\text{h.c.}] \quad . \quad (10.16)$$

Eq.(10.16) and its hermitian conjugate can straightforwardly be inverted to give an expression analogous to Ψ_+^{AB} , as given in Sec.6 of [1], which provides a spinorial version of the quantity $H_{k\ell}$ to be fixed on Σ_F . Of course, the perturbative boundary data $H_{k\ell}$ must be chosen such that the divergence condition (10.9) holds: ${}^3\nabla_k H^{ik} = 0$ on Σ_F , just as, in Sec.6 of [1], the condition ${}^3\nabla_k B^k = 0$ must hold.

Two of the five complex components of Ψ_{ABCD} are contained in the Newman-Penrose quantities [8,9,27]

$$\Psi_0 = \Psi_{ABCD} o^A o^B o^C o^D \quad , \quad \Psi_4 = \Psi_{ABCD} \iota^A \iota^B \iota^C \iota^D \quad , \quad (10.17)$$

where (o^A, ι^A) is a normalised spinor dyad [1]. Such a dyad (o^A, ι^A) is a pair of spinors which provide a basis for the 2-complex-dimensional vector space of spinors ω^A at each point, and is normalised according to $o_A \iota^A = 1 = -\iota_A o^A$. Knowledge of a null tetrad [27] $\ell^\mu, n^\mu, m^\mu, \bar{m}^\mu$ of vectors at a point is equivalent to knowledge of the corresponding normalised spinor dyad (o^A, ι^A) , through the relations $\ell^\mu \leftrightarrow o^A o^{A'}, n^\mu \leftrightarrow \iota^A \iota^{A'}, m^\mu \leftrightarrow o^A \iota^{A'}, \bar{m}^\mu \leftrightarrow \iota^A o^{A'}$.

In the case of a spherically-symmetric black-hole background (or indeed of a rotating Kerr black hole), taking the Kinnersley null tetrad [28,29], which corresponds to a particular choice of (o^A, ι^A) , it was shown by Teukolsky [30] that Ψ_0 (and Ψ_4) each obey decoupled separable wave equations. Following work by Chrzanowski [31], it was confirmed by Wald [32] that, given a solution Ψ_0 (or Ψ_4) of the Teukolsky equation for a (nearly-) Kerr background, all the vacuum metric perturbations can be reconstructed in a certain gauge through a series of simple operations on Ψ_0 (or Ψ_4) [29,31,32]. Once the linearised metric perturbations are known, then, of course, one can compute other Newman-Penrose quantities, such as

$$\Psi_2 = \Psi_{ABCD} o^A o^B \iota^C \iota^D \quad . \quad (10.18)$$

The analogous process can also be carried out for spin-1 (Maxwell) perturbations of a spherically-symmetric black-hole solution or of the Kerr metric [29,31,32]. For $s = 1$ perturbations of the Kerr metric, as mentioned in Sec.6 of [1], the Newman-Penrose quantities ϕ_0 , ϕ_2 each obey a decoupled separable equation; then [29,31,32] the corresponding linearised Maxwell vector potential A_μ (in a particular gauge) can be reconstructed by simple steps from ϕ_0 (or from ϕ_2). Hence, the middle Newman-Penrose quantity ϕ_1 can also be found; it is ϕ_1 which admits the expansion

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2r^2} \sum_{\ell m} \left(\psi_{1\ell m}^{(e)} + i \psi_{1\ell m}^{(o)} \right) Y_{\ell m}(\Omega) \quad (10.19)$$

given in Eq.(6.22) of [1], which then allows comparison with the language used in Secs.2-5 of [1]. Although we have not quite finished detailed calculations on this point, it does now look reasonable to expect that, for $s = 2$ gravitational perturbations, there should exist a relation analogous to Eq.(10.19).

11 Conclusion

Linearised gravitational-wave ($s = 2$) perturbations about a spherically-symmetric Einstein/massless-scalar collapse to a black hole have been studied in this paper. In the companion paper [1], for Maxwell ($s = 1$) perturbations, the principal aims were (1) to specify suitable perturbative boundary data on the final space-like hypersurface Σ_F at a late time T , subject (for simplicity) to the initial boundary data on Σ_I (time $t = 0$) being spherically symmetric. (2) To express the Lorentzian classical action S_{class} as an explicit functional of the 'suitable' boundary data above, and of the proper-time interval T , once T has been rotated into the complex: $T \rightarrow |T| \exp(-i\theta)$, for $0 < \theta \leq \pi/2$. (3) Given S_{class} , to compute, following Feynman, the quantum amplitude for the weak-field final data, by taking the limit of the semi-classical amplitude $(\text{const.}) \times \exp(iS_{\text{class}})$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0_+$.

The same aims hold for the present paper, for gravitational-wave perturbations ($s = 2$), with analogous results. As in the $s = 1$ case, it is also necessary here to decompose the metric perturbations into parts with odd and even parity. The main difference on moving from $s = 1$ [1] to the present paper is a considerable increase in algebraic or analytic complexity, to be expected since one deals with tensor fields rather than vector fields.

Some indications towards unification of these ideas for perturbative fields of different spin s are contained in Sec.10, which parallels for $s = 2$ the treatment of $s = 1$ in [1]. For $s = 1$, the quantity most naturally specified as an argument of the quantum wave-functional, on a bounding hypersurface such as Σ_F , is the (spatial) magnetic field B_i , subject to the condition ${}^3\nabla_k B^k = 0$. Correspondingly, for linearised gravitational waves ($s = 2$), the natural boundary data were found to be the (symmetric, trace-free) magnetic part H_{ik} of the Weyl tensor [10,11], subject to ${}^3\nabla_k H^{ik} = 0$. In 2-component spinor language, these correspond ($s = 1$) to a particular 'projection' of the (complex) symmetric Maxwell

field-strength spinor $\phi_{AB} = \phi_{(AB)}$, and ($s = 2$) to a projection of the totally-symmetric (complex) Weyl spinor $\Psi_{ABCD} = \Psi_{(ABCD)}$. Of course, as treated in [1,33], these boundary conditions constructed from ϕ_{AB} and Ψ_{ABCD} are special cases of the natural boundary conditions for gauged supergravity [34-36]. Although 2-component spinor language might seem a luxury in treating bosonic fields describing photons or gravitons, above, it is practically a necessity in treating the corresponding fermionic (massless) neutrino spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field, as in [33], and (for supergravity) the gravitino spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ field, on which work is in progress [37].

References

- [1] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, 'Spin-1 Amplitudes in Black-Hole Evaporation', submitted for publication (2005).
- [2] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, 'Quantum Amplitudes in Black-Hole Evaporation: I. Complex Approach', submitted for publication (2005); Phys Lett. B, **601**, 184 (2004).
- [3] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, 'Quantum Amplitudes in Black-Hole Evaporation: II. Spin-0 Amplitude', submitted for publication (2005).
- [4] R.P.Feynman and A.R.Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, (McGraw-Hill, New York) (1965).
- [5] J.Mathews, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. **10**, 768 (1962).
- [6] J.N.Goldberg, A.J.MacFarlane, E.T.Newman, F.Rohrlich and E.C.G.Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys. **8**, 2155 (1967).
- [7] T.Regge and J.A.Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).
- [8] R.Penrose and W.Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) (1984).
- [9] R.Penrose and W.Rindler, *Spinors and Space-Time*, vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) (1986).
- [10] P.D.D'Eath, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 1387 (1975)
- [11] K.S.Thorne, R.H.Price and D.A.Macdonald, *Black holes. The membrane paradigm*. (Yale University Press, New Haven, Ct.) (1986).
- [12] P.D.D'Eath, 'What local supersymmetry can do for quantum cosmology', in *The Future of Theoretical Physics and Cosmology*, eds. G.W.Gibbons, E.P.S.Shellard and S.J.Rankin (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) 693 (2003).
- [13] P.D.D'Eath, 'Loop amplitudes in supergravity by canonical quantization', in *Fundamental Problems in Classical, Quantum and String Gravity*, ed. N.Sánchez (Observatoire de Paris) **166** (1999), hep-th/9807028.
- [14] K.S.Thorne and A.Campolattaro, Astrophys. J. **149**, 591 (1967).
- [15] V.Moncrief, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **88**, 323 (1974).
- [16] C.W.Misner, K.S.Thorne and J.A.Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (Freeman, San Francisco) (1973).
- [17] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, 'Vaidya Space-Time in Black-Hole Evaporation', submitted for publication (2005).
- [18] P.C.Vaidya, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. **A33**, 264 (1951).

- [19] R.W.Lindquist, R.A.Schwartz and C.W.Misner, Phys Rev. **137**, 1364 (1965).
- [20] D.N.Page, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 198 (1976).
- [21] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, Phys. Lett. B **613**, 181 (2005).
- [22] F.J.Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2141 (1970).
- [23] F.J.Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 860 (1974).
- [24] C.T.Cunningham, R.H.Price and V.Moncrief, Astrophys. J. **224**, 643 (1978); ibid. **230**, 870 (1979).
- [25] S.Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford University Press, Oxford) (1992).
- [26] P.D.D'Eath, *Supersymmetric Quantum Cosmology*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) (1996).
- [27] E.T.Newman and R.Penrose, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 566 (1962).
- [28] W.Kinnersley, J. Math. Phys **10**, 1195 (1969).
- [29] J.A.H.Futterman, F.A.Handler and R.A.Matzner, *Scattering from Black Holes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) (1988).
- [30] S.A.Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. **185**, 635 (1973).
- [31] P.L.Chrzanowski, Phys. Rev D **11**, 2042 (1975).
- [32] R.M.Wald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 203 (1978).
- [33] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, Class. Quantum Grav. **22**, 3001 (2005).
- [34] P.Breitenlohner and D.Z.Freedman, Phys. Lett. B **115**, 197 (1982).
- [35] P.Breitenlohner and D.Z.Freedman, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **144**, 249 (1982).
- [36] S.W.Hawking, Phys. Lett. B **126**, 175 (1983).
- [37] A.N.St.J.Farley and P.D.D'Eath, 'Spin-3/2 Amplitudes in Black-Hole Evaporation', in progress.